

Evaluation of Students Peer Reviews:

Total(50): _____

Reviewer Name: _____
1-8 are 5pts each. item 9 is 10 pts

0—Reviewer must hand in their marked up paper along with the “peer review of lab form”.

1. Was the review completed and handed in on time.
2. Were comments and errors noted by the reviewer in peer review item 1? Were items missed which were noted by the instructor?
3. Were the reviewers comments legible, clearly stated, and described location of formatting (generally by marking up the paper) errors (item 2 of peer review).
4. Did the reviewer carefully note any problems with the authors analysis (peer review item 3)? These would be major red flag issues (such as reporting two different results for the same quantity).
5. Did the reviewer note any numerical, scientific notation, or other reporting problems (peer review item 4)

6. Did the reviewer make suggestions regarding the use of references or general improvements? (item 5 on peer review)?
7. Did the reviewer note and or suggest ways in which the author could expand upon/improve/ “go above and beyond” the minimal tasks?
8. Do the ranking section and additional comments section appear to be completed in a manner consistent with all aspects of the lab report and grading process?
9. Additional Instructor Comments (10 pts)